

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/806,913		Applicant(s) HIRABAYASHI ET AL.	
	Examiner Brian L. Mutschler		Art Unit 1753	

All Participants:

(1) Brian L. Mutschler.

(2) Shrinath Malur.

Status of Application: Amendment after non-final rejection

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 17 May 2004 **Time:** _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
n/a

Claims discussed:
all pending

Prior art documents discussed:
n/a


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Mr. Malur was contacted to discuss a proposed amendment to the claims to place them in condition for allowance. In the independent claims, the newly added limitation "said wafer-shaped part moves relatively to said body to form an electroosmotic flow in one of said passages" should be changed to a positive structural limitation. The phrase "means for moving the wafer-shaped part relative to said body to form an electroosmotic flow sequentially in said passages" was suggested to incorporate the means for moving the wafer-shaped part described on page 14 at lines 7-9 of the specification and the sequential formation of electroosmotic flow originally presented in claim 7. The changes to the independent claims and the cancellation of claim 14 was approved by the Applicant and will be made by an Examiner's amendment.